



2025 Kansas Freedom Index

Who votes for and against economic and education freedom in Kansas?



FACTBOOK

WWW.KANSASPOLICY.ORG | WWW.KANSASOPENGOV.ORG

INTRODUCTION

An informed citizenry is an essential element of maintaining a free society. Having a deeper understanding of how legislation impacts student-focused education, economic freedom and the constitutional principles of individual liberty and limited government allows citizens to better understand the seen and often unseen consequences of legislative issues.

The Freedom Index is intended to provide educational information to the public about broad economic and education issues that are important to the citizens of our State. It is the product of nonpartisan analysis, study and research and is not intended to directly or indirectly endorse or oppose any candidate for public office.

Economic freedom is not about party affiliations or labels like liberal, moderate or conservative. Rather, it is about a philosophical belief in the role of government. The filters are not 'D' and 'R,' but '**E**,' '**L**' and '**C**.' Some citizens have a strong philosophical belief in an **E**xpanding government, while others are grounded in a strong philosophical belief in **L**imited government. And, there are some citizens for whom the primary litmus test is more **C**ircumstantial

Kansas Policy Institute Fact Book

rather than a strong philosophical belief about the role of government.

Government also is the dividing line on education issues. Debates on school choice issues, for example, often come down to whether the interests of individual students or school districts should prevail.

METHODOLOGY

Legislative action in the Kansas House and Senate, whether in the form of final action or some of the many important steps along the way, are selected for inclusion in the Kansas Freedom Index based on the impact the proposed legislation has on student-focused education issues, the free market and the constitutional principles of individual liberty and limited government. Selections were included in the Index to provide educational information about broad economic and education issues. The Index is the product of nonpartisan analysis, study and research; it is not intended to directly or indirectly endorse or oppose any candidate for public office.

Since the current legislature cannot bind future legislatures, it cannot be said with absolute certainty that, for

example, a net tax reduction planned for future years will actually take place. Thus, we will only consider the fiscal impact of multi-year phase-ins within the current budget cycle. Legislation that increases tax or fee revenue outside the current budget cycle, however, will be counted to avoid attempts to 'game' the system and never having tax increases scored because they fall outside the current budget cycle.

Each legislator's vote, or failure to vote, is assigned points from one of two tiers based on the criteria on the following pages, with points assessed to each legislator based upon his or her vote. A vote in support of individual liberty, limited government, free markets and student-focused education will receive positive points; a vote opposed to those principles will receive negative points. A vote of Present or Not Voting will be awarded zero points. For example, consider a bill creating a new licensing board that requires dog groomers to pay a small fee and meet state requirements to operate. The Economic Freedom Index would be scored as follows: negative one (-1) for creation of the licensing board and negative one (-1) for creating a new fee; total score assigned would be

negative two (-2). A legislator voting against this bill would be awarded positive two (+2) points. Conversely, a legislator voting for the bill would be awarded negative two (-2) points.

A positive cumulative score indicates that a legislator generally supported freedom, while a negative cumulative score indicates that a legislator generally opposed freedom. A score of zero indicates that a legislator was generally neutral on freedom. The cumulative score only pertains to the specific votes included in the Kansas Freedom Index and should not be interpreted otherwise. A different set of issues and/or a different set of circumstances could result in different cumulative scores.

Tier 1 – Three points awarded for each applicable criteria which has a major impact on the functioning of student-focused education, free markets or the constitutional principles of individual liberty and limited government.

1. Does it create or eliminate an agency, program or function of government? Does it attempt to prevent the consolidation of multiple agencies? Consolidation of multiple agencies into a new agency is not

considered creation of an agency for this purpose.
(Streamlining Government)

2. Does it remove or give the government new power to prohibit or restrict activities in the free market? Examples may include licensing requirements and other restrictions on legal business practices.
(Transparency/Free Markets)
3. Is it hostile to the concepts set forth in the U.S. Constitution? Does it protect the idea of Federalism as set forth in the 10th Amendment? Does it restrict property, speech, gun or other constitutionally-recognized rights or freedoms? Does it adhere to the electoral system, balance of power, and checks and balances provided for in the Constitution?
(Constitutional Structure)
4. Is it supportive of or hostile to the Separation of Powers doctrine? *(Separation of Powers)*
5. Does it have a major positive or negative impact on the overall tax burden? *(Tax Burden)*
6. Does it hold government accountable by making services more accessible and/or preserve or improve quality at the

same or a better price? Conversely, does it prevent such circumstances by favoring the interest of government employees over taxpayers? *(Transparency & Efficiency)*

7. Does it reaffirm basic legal rights or otherwise protect citizens from judicial activism? *(Separation of Powers)*
8. Does it enhance or restrict citizen input on the selection of judges? *(Judicial Selection/Judicial Approval)*
9. Does it have a major impact on student-focused educational opportunities? *(Student-Focused)*
10. Does it create a student-focused school funding system that holds schools accountable for outcomes or does it perpetuate a system that produced unacceptable results? *(School Funding)*
11. Does it restore spending to the Legislature's appropriations process or does it remove spending authority from the Legislature's appropriations process?
(Legislative Process)
12. Does it prevent agencies or individuals from obligating the state to actions or expenditures without Legislative approval or does it allow agencies or individuals to obligate the state to actions or

expenditures without Legislative approval?
(Legislative Process)

13. Does it contract or expand government-provided health care? *(Medicaid Expansion)*
14. Does it change tax policy for the improvement or detriment of economic growth and job creation?
(Economy)
15. Does it impact the ability of government employees to complete their work free from coerced political influence, exercise an individual right on issues related to terms of employment, collective bargaining, etc. *(Employee Freedom)*
16. Does it have a major impact on private property rights? *(Property Rights)*
17. Does it preserve, enhance, or diminish the integrity of the election process? *(Electoral Integrity)*

Tier 2 – One point awarded for each applicable criteria which has an important, but less significant, impact on the functioning of free markets or the constitutional principles of individual liberty, limited government.

1. Does it redistribute income, or use tax policy or other incentives to reward specific interest groups, individual businesses, or industries with special favors or perks? Conversely, does it eliminate special favors and perks in the tax code or public policy? *(Tax Preference)*
2. Does it perform a function that can and should be performed by the private sector, or restore functions to the private sector? *(Privatization)*
3. Does it grow or shrink the regulatory scope of an agency? *(Regulatory Scope)*
4. Does it add or remove a minor agency or licensing board? *(Streamlining Government)*
5. Does it directly or indirectly create/reduce taxes, fees or other assessments? *(Tax/Taxes/Fees)*
6. Does it increase or decrease control of the private sector through rules, regulation or statute? *(Regulation)*
7. Does it increase or decrease long-term debt, or over-ride or restore statutory or constitutional protections against long-term debt? *(Debt)*

8. Does it give or reduce special benefits for government employees or elected officials? (*Government Favoritism*)
9. Does it promote government transparency or does it restrict access to information that should be in the public domain? (*Transparency*)
10. Does it change licensing provisions in ways that further restrict competition in the free market or does it relax regulations to encourage competition or otherwise provide for the functioning of free markets? (*Licensing/Free Markets*)
11. Does it promote more efficient use of taxpayer funds or does it oppose or reduce government efficiency? (*Efficiency*)
12. Does it give teachers, principals, school districts, higher education, or the Department of Education more flexibility to make student-focused decisions by relaxing or eliminating regulations or does it increase regulatory control? (*Education*)
13. Does it prevent or allow government funds or operations from being used for political purposes? (*Political Dues or Issues/Lobbying with Taxpayer Funds*)
14. Does it require school districts to make student-focused decisions related to student achievement or does it allow school districts to put other considerations ahead of student-focused achievement? (*Education*)
15. Does it enhance or restrict private property rights? (*Property Rights*)
16. Does it enhance or promote consumer-driven health care or does it make health care more expensive and/or less accessible? (*Consumer-Driven Health Care*)
17. Does it encourage citizen engagement in state and local governmental decision making? (*Citizen Engagement*)
18. Does it restore funding decisions to the Appropriations process or does it circumvent the Appropriation process? (*Transparency*)

Interpreting Legislators' Votes

Some legislators may object to the inclusion or classification of their votes on a particular bill for a variety of reasons. Kansas Policy Institute acknowledges that such issues are subjective in nature and open to interpretation.

The decision to include or exclude a particular bill or procedural vote is based on our view of the issues at hand without regard to party affiliation or the intent of an individual legislator. We simply record each vote as cast.

We also recognize that a legislator may occasionally cast a vote that is contrary to his or her true belief on an issue for procedural or parochial reasons. Unfortunately, there is no way to fairly interpret the intent behind each vote so in the interest of avoiding any concerns of partisanship, we simply record each vote as cast.

It should also be noted that some legislators choose not to cast a vote in some cases (this is recorded as a vote of 'Present'). When a legislator is not present at the time of a vote, it is recorded as "Not Voting." While the motive behind a 'Present' vote is often understood by regular observers of the Legislature, we assign zero points to 'Present' to avoid any concerns of partisanship. Similarly, legislators may be unavoidably absent when a vote is taken; zero points are awarded even though their position on an issue may be well known to ensure the non-partisan nature of the Kansas Freedom Index.

Also of note is that some bills include separate provisions that effectively cancel themselves out. For instance, a bill that increases fees but offers minor regulatory reform. The fee increase would be -1 (2-5) while the lessening of regulatory burden would be +1 (2-6). This "no net effect" phenomenon is also commonplace when multiple bills are placed together in the same legislative package, as typically happens at the end of a regular session. For instance, SB 36 in the 2017 session ceded legislative authority to an administrative agency (-1) but also removed some fee authority (+1) resulting in a neutral score and the bill not being included in the Index itself.

Lifetime Freedom Index

Each legislative session brings a different 'mix' of bills and circumstances, such that, in combination with the caveats in the preceding section, a legislator's Freedom Index for a particular year may or may not be indicative of their complete record. Accordingly, a Lifetime Freedom Index was added effective with the 2015 Freedom Index and is continued with the current session. A Lifetime Freedom Index is assigned to every current legislator

who participated in at least two legislative sessions but only back as far as the 2012 legislative session, which was the inaugural year of the Freedom Index.

Service in previous sessions need not be contiguous to the current session and includes participation in a different chamber (House or Senate) in which they currently serve. The Index (percentage) for a single year represents the relative position of a legislator's score on a number line of the minimum and maximum score, with the percentage indicating proximity to the maximum score.

For example, if a legislator with a score range of ± 43 and a score of zero would be at the 50% point on the minimum/maximum number line. A legislator with a score of negative 20 on that same range would be at the 26.7% point (Freedom Percentage) on the number line (or 73.7% away from the maximum). It is calculated by adding the maximum positive score for the House or Senate to each legislator's actual score and dividing the total by twice the appropriate maximum score. The Lifetime Freedom Index is calculated in the same manner as for a single year, but tabulates each legislator's actual and maximum scores for every session in which they participated.

BILL SUMMARIES

HB2007: -4, Tier 1, Crit. 13; Tier 2, Crit. 7 (Wikle Amend.)

– A “NO” vote on this proposal had the impact of preventing the expansion of Medicaid as part of the Affordable Care Act, commonly referred to as Obamacare, (+3) causing a significant increase in the state tax burden (+1).

HB2069: +2, Tier 2, Crit. 10 (x 2)

– By advancing a variety of interstate compacts to ease occupational licensing requirements, making it simpler to earn a living in Kansas.

HB2083: -1, Tier 2, Crit. 1

– This bill offers preferential tax treatment to energy storage activities that amounts to a state subsidy of private activity.

HB2088: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 10

– A bill to ensure that building permits are issued in a timely fashion.

HB2101: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 1

– A bill that prevents subordinate levels of government from offering what amounts to a universal basic income.

HB2119: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 1

– A bill to end the preferential tax treatment for “affordable” housing projects.

HB2125: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 9 – This bill makes a variety of changes to Revenue Neutral Rate mailing requirements and prevents BOTA from charging certain filing fees.

HB2134: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 9 – A bill that makes several changes to the definitions and fees under the Kansas Open Records Act.

HB2158: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 3 (House x2) (Senate x1) – HOUSE: This bill is a significant cottage industry regulatory relief bill for beekeepers by exempting them from obtaining a food establishment/processing license (+2). SENATE: This bill is a cottage industry regulatory relief bill for beekeepers by exempting them from obtaining a food establishment/processing license (+1) but does not go as far in deregulating the industry as does the House-passed version of the legislation.

HB2231: +2, Tier 2, Crit. 5 (x 2) – A bill that exempts watercraft and other personal property from property tax (+1), changes corporate taxation for multinational companies from a 3-factor apportionment to a single-factor based on Kansas activity (+1).

HB2240: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 18 – A bill that requires legislative approval of public assistance or authorization from the federal government for expanded eligibility in some public assistance programs.

HB2291: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 3 – A bill to enhance business innovation by providing start-ups and other innovators the ability to have some regulations waived.

HB2294: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 3 – A proposal to reduce some licensing fees and regulations for some child care providers through “regulatory sandboxes.”

HB2304: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 9 – This legislation will require that local governments report local economic development incentives to the Sec. of Commerce.

HB2311: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 19 – A bill to ensure that state government cannot dictate matters of conscience in state adoption programs.

HB2343: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 3 – A regulatory relief bill to make it easier to operate many businesses from your home.

HB2390: -1, Tier 2, Crit. 5 – This bill would have offered Jackson County the authority to levy new taxes to fund hospital operations.

HB2396: -4, Tier 1, Crit. 5; Tier 2, Crit. 5 – Amongst other smaller provisions, this bill would have repealed the Revenue-Neutral Rate requirements (-3) and would have created an LAVTR-like fund at the state level that could have rewarded local governments for raising taxes (-1).

HCR5008: +3, Tier 1, Crit. 1 – This constitutional amendment will go before voters and will strengthen legislative oversight of executive branch regulations (+3).

HCR5011: +3, Tier 1, Crit. 5 – A constitutional proposal to limit residential property valuations to the lesser of fair market value or an average fair market value as determined by further legislation.

SB10: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 5 – A bill that would have exempt personal watercraft and other personal property from property taxes.

SB29: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 17 – This bill strengthens due process and democratic accountability by making local health officers operate primarily in an advisory capacity to the local elected authority.

SB30: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 10 – This bill would have required a joint resolution from the legislature for new or materially-changed occupational licensing requirements.

SB35: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 5 – A bill to eliminate a statewide levy of 1.5 mils.

SB47: +4, Tier 2, Crit. 9 (x2); Tier 2, Crit. 12; Tier 2, Crit. 14 – This bill would have increased transparency by requiring school districts to publish email addresses for board members (+1), allowed board members to add discussion items to board agendas (+1), required public comments and interaction during all board meetings (+1), allowed board members to visit schools when school is in session (+1).

SB51: -1, Tier 2, Crit. 1 – This bill would have created a new tax subsidy for data center operations.

SB52: -1, Tier 2, Crit. 1 – The bill would have created narrowly defined tax benefits for films in Kansas (-1).

SB82: +2, Tier 1, Crit. 5 (+3); Tier 2, Crit. 5 (-1) – This bill would require at-least an 80% supermajority vote of a taxing authority to exceed specific property tax limit (+3) and would have created an LAVTR-like fund at the state level that could have rewarded local governments for raising taxes (-1).

SB87: +2, Tier 2, Crit. 12 (x 2) – A bill that would have added an “escalator” to the amount of credits available to fund scholarships for Kansas students to attend private schools (+1) and made a variety of other small changes to the same program as well (+1).

SB114: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 14 – This bill would have strengthened the ability of homeschool students to fully participate in sports and activities through their local public school district.

SB161: -4, Tier 1, Crit. 13; Tier 2, Crit. 7 (Petty Amend.) – A “NO” vote on this proposal had the impact of preventing the expansion of Medicaid as part of the Affordable Care Act, commonly referred to as Obamacare, (+3) causing a significant increase in the state tax burden (+1).

SB181: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 18 – This bill would have increased fiscal responsibility by limiting certain expenditures and demand transfers.

SB197: -1, Tier 2, Crit. 1 – A bill that would have extended the preferential tax subsidy of STAR Bonds to the redevelopment of shopping malls.


SB222: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 3 – Commonly referred to as “Chevron” deference, this bill would have ensured that state courts could not automatically defer to an agency’s basis for enforcing rules and regulations.

SB269: +1, Tier 2, Crit. 5 – A ratchet to lower future state income tax rates if state revenues reach certain thresholds.

SCR1603: +3, Tier 1, Crit. 5 – A constitutional proposal to limit valuation increases for residential property to no more than 3% annually.


SCR1611: +3, Tier 1, Crit. 8 – A constitutional amendment that will place justices to the Kansas Supreme Court by direct-election from Kansas voters.

Kansas Senate Lifetime Freedom Index Ranking



Kansas House Lifetime Freedom Index Ranking

Representative	Sessions Scored	Lifetime Index
Gardner, Fred (R)	3	93%
Fairchild, Brett (R)	5	92%
Croft, Chris (R)	7	91%
Neely, Lance (R)	5	91%
Anderson, Avery (R)	5	91%
Proctor, Pat (R)	5	91%
Waggoner, Paul (R)	7	91%
Bergquist, Emil (R)	8	91%
Schmoe, Rebecca (R)	3	90%
Turk, Adam (R)	3	90%
Esau, Charlotte (R)	5	90%
Wasinger, Barbara (R)	7	89%
Johnson, Timothy (R)	5	89%
Moser, Lisa (R)	5	89%
Howerton, Cyndi (R)	4	89%
Turner, Carl (R)	5	89%
Hill, Scott (R)	3	89%
Estes, Susan (R)	5	89%
Sanders, Clarke (R)	5	89%
Pickert, Sandy (R)	3	88%
Bergkamp, Brian (R)	5	88%
Kessler, Thomas (R)	5	88%
Bryce, Ron (R)	3	88%
Lewis, Bob (R)	3	88%
Collins, Kenneth (R)	7	88%
Penn, Patrick (R)	5	88%
Buehler, Dave (R)	3	87%
Droge, Duane (R)	3	87%
Stiens, Angela (R)	2	87%
Carpenter, Blake (R)	11	87%
Barth, Carrie (R)	3	87%
Thompson, Mike (R)	3	87%
Humphries, Susan (R)	9	86%
Howe, Steven (R)	5	86%
Awerkamp, Francis (R)	9	86%
Hoheisel, Nick (R)	7	86%
White, Gary (R)	3	86%
Howell, Leah (R)	4	86%
Blex, Doug (R)	9	86%
Essex, Robyn (R)	3	85%
Goetz, Jason (R)	3	85%
Resman, John (R)	9	85%
Corbet, Ken (R)	13	84%
Delperdang, Leo (R)	9	84%
Goddard, Dan (R)	3	83%
Williams, Kristey (R)	11	81%
Carpenter, Will (R)	11	81%
Mimix, James (R)	5	81%
Rhiley, Bill (R)	7	81%
Rahjes, Ken (R)	10	81%
Tarwater, Sean (R)	9	81%
Roth, Web (R)	3	80%



House

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2007 Wkle Amend	HB2069	HB2083	HB2088	HB2101	HB2119	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2294
BILL SCORE – 2025				-4	2	-1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
Corbet, Ken (R)	43	41	97.7	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Fairchild, Brett (R)	43	37	93.0	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Poetter Parshall, S. (R)	43	36	91.9	•	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	•
Gardner, Fred (R)	43	35	90.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
VanHouden, Chip (R)	43	34	89.5	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Barrett, Brad (R)	43	33	88.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
James, Ricky (R)	43	33	88.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Tarwater, Sean (R)	43	33	88.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Turk, Adam (R)	43	33	88.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Blex, Doug (R)	43	32	87.2	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	•
Anderson, Avery (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Awerkamp, Francis (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Bryce, Ron (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Buehler, Dave (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Carpenter, Blake (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Croft, Chris (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Esau, Charlotte (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Hawkins, Dan (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

	HB2304	HB2311	HB2343	HB2390	HB2396	HCR5008	HCR5011	SB114	SB269	SB29	SB30	SB35	SB82	SCR1611
	1	1	1	-1	-4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	•	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

House

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2007 Wike Amend	HB2069	HB2083	HB2088	HB2101	HB2119	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2294
BILL SCORE – 2025				-4	2	-1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
Howerton, Cyndi (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Neelly, Lance (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Pickert, Sandy (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Proctor, Pat (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Resman, John (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Schmoe, Rebecca (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
Schwertfeger, Kevin (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
Stiens, Angela (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Sweely, Kyler (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Thompson, Mike (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Ward, Jill (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Williams, Kristey (R)	43	31	86.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Huebert, Steve (R)	43	30	84.9	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Barth, Carrie (R)	43	28	82.6	▲	▲	▲	▲	•	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
Kessler, Tom (R)	43	28	82.6	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲
Droge, Duane (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Helwig, Dale (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Hill, Scott (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

	HB2304	HB2311	HB2343	HB2390	HB2396	HCR5008	HCR5011	SB114	SB269	SB29	SB30	SB35	SB82	SCR1611
	1	1	1	-1	-4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	•	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	•	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

House

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

BILL SCORE – 2025

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2007 Wike Amend	HB2069	HB2083	HB2088	HB2101	HB2119	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2294
Humphries, Susan (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Moser, Lisa (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Rhiley, Bill (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
Seiwert, Joe (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Smith, Chuck (R)	43	27	81.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Ellis, Ronald (R)	43	26	80.2	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	•
Bergquist, Emil (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Bohi, Lauren (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Carpenter, Will (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Essex, Robyn (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Estes, Susan (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Goddard, Dan (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Hoffman, Kyle (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Hoheisel, Nick (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
King, Mike (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Lewis, Bob (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Rahjes, Ken (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Sanders, Clarke (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

	HB2304	HB2311	HB2343	HB2390	HB2396	HCR5008	HCR5011	SB114	SB269	SB29	SB30	SB35	SB82	SCR1611
	1	1	1	-1	-4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

House

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2007 Wike Amend	HB2069	HB2083	HB2088	HB2101	HB2119	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2294
BILL SCORE – 2025				-4	2	-1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
Steele, Megan (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Sutton, Bill (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Waggoner, Paul (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Waymaster, Troy (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Willcott, Sean (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Wolf, Dawn (R)	43	25	79.1	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Bergkamp, Brian (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Collins, Ken (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	•	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Francis, Shannon (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Goetz, Jason (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Howell, Leah (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Johnson, Tim (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
McNorton, Kyle (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Minnix, Jim (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Reavis, Allen (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Smith, Adam (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Turner, Carl (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
White, Gary (R)	43	23	76.7	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

	HB2304	HB2311	HB2343	HB2390	HB2396	HCR5008	HCR5011	SB114	SB269	SB29	SB30	SB35	SB82	SCR1611
	1	1	1	-1	-4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
	▲	▲	▽	▽	•	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	•	▲	▽	▲	•	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	•	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

House

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2007 Wike Amend	HB2069	HB2083	HB2088	HB2101	HB2119	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2294
BILL SCORE – 2025				-4	2	-1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
Penn, Patrick (R)	43	22	75.6	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲
Bloom, Bill (R)	43	21	74.4	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Butler, Nate (R)	43	21	74.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Delperdang, Leo (R)	43	21	74.4	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲
Wasinger, Barb (R)	43	21	74.4	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Williams, Laura (R)	43	21	74.4	•	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Brantley, Sherri (R)	43	20	73.3	▲	•	▽	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Chauncey, Shawn (R)	43	19	72.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Howe, Steve (R)	43	19	72.1	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
Long, Martin (R)	43	19	72.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Pishny, Lon (R)	43	19	72.1	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Roth, Web (R)	43	18	70.9	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	•
Wilborn, Richard (R)	43	17	69.8	•	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	•	▲	▲	▲	▲
Borjon, Jesse (R)	43	15	67.4	•	▲	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Roeser, Angel (R)	43	11	62.8	•	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲
Schreiber, Mark (R)	43	-9	39.5	▽	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Helgersen, Henry (D)	43	-16	31.4	•	▲	•	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	•	▲	▽	▽	▽
Stogsdill, Jerry (D)	43	-16	31.4	•	▲	•	▽	•	•	▲	▲	•	▲	▽	▽	▲

	HB2304	HB2311	HB2343	HB2390	HB2396	HCR5008	HCR5011	SB114	SB269	SB29	SB30	SB35	SB82	SCR1611
	1	1	1	-1	-4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	•	▲	▲	▲	•	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	•	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	•	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽
	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	•	▽	▽	•	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽

House

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2007 Wkle Amend	HB2069	HB2083	HB2088	HB2101	HB2119	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2294
BILL SCORE – 2025				-4	2	-1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
Carmichael, John (D)	43	-21	25.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Meyer, Heather (D)	43	-21	25.6	▽	▲	•	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	•	▲	▽	▽	▲
Ruiz, Louis (D)	43	-21	25.6	•	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	•
Alcala, John (D)	43	-22	24.4	•	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	▲	▽	•	▽	▽	▲
Carr, Ford (D)	43	-22	24.4	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	▲	▽	•	▽	▽	▽
Simmons, Alexis (D)	43	-22	24.4	▽	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲
Carlin, Sydney (D)	43	-23	23.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Curtis, Pam (D)	43	-23	23.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲
Melton, Lynn (D)	43	-23	23.3	▽	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Martinez, Angela (D)	43	-25	20.9	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽
Osman, Dan (D)	43	-26	19.8	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Sawyer, Tom (D)	43	-26	19.8	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
McDonald, Nikki (D)	43	-27	18.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Miller, Silas (D)	43	-27	18.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽
Neighbor, Cindy (D)	43	-27	18.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Ohaebosim, K.C. (D)	43	-27	18.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Schlingensiepen, Tobias (D)	43	-27	18.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Weigel, Virgil (D)	43	-27	18.6	▽	•	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲

	HB2304	HB2311	HB2343	HB2390	HB2396	HCR5008	HCR5011	SB114	SB269	SB29	SB30	SB35	SB82	SCR1611
	1	1	1	-1	-4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽
	▽	▽	▽	•	•	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	•
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	•	▽	▽	•	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	▽
	▽	▽	▽	•	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▲	•	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	•	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	•	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	•	▽	▽	▽	•	▽	▽

House

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2007 Wike Amend	HB2069	HB2083	HB2088	HB2101	HB2119	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2294
BILL SCORE – 2025				-4	2	-1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
Woodard, Brandon (D)	43	-27	18.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Ballard, Barbara (D)	43	-29	16.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Clayton, Stephanie (D)	43	-29	16.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽
Hoye, Jo Ella (D)	43	-29	16.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Ruiz, Susan (D)	43	-29	16.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Vaughn, Lindsay (D)	43	-29	16.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Wikle, Suzanne (D)	43	-29	16.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Xu, Rui (D)	43	-29	16.3	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲
Haskins, Kirk (D)	43	-30	15.1	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	•
Mosley, Brooklynne (D)	43	-30	15.1	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	•	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲
Winn, Valdenia (D)	43	-30	15.1	▽	▲	•	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	•	▽	▽	▽	▲
Amyx, Mike (D)	43	-31	14.0	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽
Featherston, Linda (D)	43	-31	14.0	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽
Ousley, Jarrod (D)	43	-31	14.0	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽
Poskin, Mari-Lynn (D)	43	-31	14.0	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	▲	▽	•	▽	▽	•
Oropeza, Melissa (D)	43	-33	11.6	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
Brownlee Paige, Wanda (D)	43	-37	7.0	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲

	HB2304	HB2311	HB2343	HB2390	HB2396	HCR5008	HCR5011	SB114	SB269	SB29	SB30	SB35	SB82	SCR1611
	1	1	1	-1	-4	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	3
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽

Senate

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2069	HB2088	HB2101	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2304	HB2311	HCR5011	SB10
BILL SCORE – 2025				2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	3	1
Peck, Virgil (R)	42	42	100.0	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Shane, Douglas (R)	42	42	100.0	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Erickson, Renee (R)	42	40	97.6	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Tyson, Caryn (R)	42	40	97.6	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲
Gossage, Beverly (R)	42	38	95.2	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Murphy, Michael (R)	42	38	95.2	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Titus, Kenny (R)	42	38	95.2	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Alley, Larry (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Blasi, Chase (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Claeys, J.R. (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Claeys, Joseph (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Klemp, Jeff (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Kloos, Richard (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Masterson, Ty (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Petersen, Mike (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Rose, TJ (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Thompson, Mike (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Warren, Kellie (R)	42	36	92.9	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲

	SB114	SB161 Petty Amend	SB181	SB197	SB222	SB269	SB29	SB35	SB47	SB51	SB52	SB87	SCR1603	SCR1611
	-1	-4	1	-1	1	1	1	1	4	-1	-1	2	3	3
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲

Senate

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2069	HB2088	HB2101	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2304	HB2311	HCR5011	SB10
BILL SCORE – 2025				2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	3	1
Bowser, Craig (R)	42	32	88.1	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Starnes, Brad (R)	42	28	83.3	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Thomas, Adam (R)	42	28	83.3	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Arnberger-Blew, Tory (R)	42	24	78.6	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
Fagg, Mike (R)	42	24	78.6	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
Shallenburger, Tim (R)	42	24	78.6	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲
Bowers, Elaine (R)	42	22	76.2	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Ryckman Sr., Ron (R)	42	18	71.4	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Billinger, Rick (R)	42	16	69.0	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Owens, Stephen (R)	42	16	69.0	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲
Clifford, William (R)	42	12	64.3	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲
Dietrich, Brenda (R)	42	4	54.8	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲
Argabright, Mike (R)	42	-2	47.6	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▽	▲
Haley, David (D)	42	-14	33.3	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲
Ware, Mary (D)	42	-19	27.4	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲
Faust-Goudeau, Oletha (D)	42	-20	26.2	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲
Schmidt, Patrick (D)	42	-20	26.2	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
Corson, Ethan (D)	42	-22	23.8	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲

	SB114	SB161 Petey Amend	SB181	SB197	SB222	SB269	SB29	SB35	SB47	SB51	SB52	SB87	SCR1603	SCR1611
	1	-4	1	-1	1	1	1	1	4	-1	-1	2	3	3
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲	▽
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▲
	▲	▽	▲	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲
	▲	▲	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲
	▲	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽
	▽	▽	▲	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽
	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽
	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	•	▽
	▲	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽
	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽

Senate

- ▲ Freedom Vote
- ▽ Non Freedom Vote
- No recorded vote

	Possible Score	Total Score	Freedom %	HB2069	HB2088	HB2101	HB2125	HB2134	HB2158	HB2231	HB2240	HB2291	HB2304	HB2311	HCR5011	SB10
BILL SCORE – 2025				2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	3	1
Francisco, Marci (D)	42	-24	21.4	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽
Holscher, Cindy (D)	42	-24	21.4	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲
Petty, Pat (D)	42	-24	21.4	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▲
Sykes, Dinah (D)	42	-26	19.1	▲	▽	▽	▲	▲	▲	▲	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽	▽

	1	SB114
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	-4	SB161 Pattey Amend
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	1	SB181
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	-1	SB197
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	1	SB222
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	1	SB269
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	1	SB29
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	1	SB35
▲	▲	
▲	▲	
▲	▲	
▲	▲	
	4	SB47
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	-1	SB51
▲	▲	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	-1	SB52
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	2	SB87
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	3	SCR1603
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
	3	SCR1611
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	
▽	▽	

The Freedom Index is intended to provide educational information to the public about broad economic and education issues that are important to the citizens of our State. It is the product of nonpartisan analysis, study and research and is not intended to directly or indirectly endorse or oppose any candidate for public office.

Kansas Policy Institute engages citizens and policy makers with research and information to enact public policy solutions that protect the constitutional right to freedom of all Kansans, give them greater access to better educational opportunities, and allow them to keep more of what they earn. By protecting and promoting freedom, we will improve everyone's quality of life, make Kansas more competitive with other states, and attract new citizens and businesses.

Guarantee of Quality Scholarship is the Kansas Policy Institute commitment to delivering the highest quality and most reliable research on state and local issues in Kansas. KPI guarantees that all original factual data are true and correct and that information attributed to other sources is accurately represented.



Wichita Office:

316-634-0218
250 N. Water, Suite 216
Wichita, KS 67202
KansasPolicy.org
KansasOpenGov.org

Overland Park Office:

913-213-5038
12980 Metcalf, Suite 130
Overland Park, KS 66213
KansasPolicy.org
KansasOpenGov.org